Non-Archimedian Fields. Topological Properties of Z_p , Q_p (*p*-adics Numbers)

Mureșan Alexe Călin

Universitatea Petrol-Gaze din Ploiești, Bd. București 39, Ploiești, Catedra de Matematică e-mail: acmuresan@upg-ploiesti.ro

Abstract

The present work tries to offer a new approach to some non-Archimedian norms and to some unusual properties determined by these. Then, as an example, p-adic numbers \mathbf{Q}_p and some topological properties will be illustrated. The present article will present as an example some abstract notions with p-adic numbers extended to \mathbf{Q}_p during the translation from non- Archimedian norms to the \mathbf{Q}_p norm.

Key words: p-adics, non-archimedian, topological properties

Non-Archimedian Absolute Value and Non-Archimedian's Properties Fields

Definition 1. A function $|\cdot| : E \rightarrow R^+$ on a field E satisfying:

(1)
$$|x| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$$

(2) $|xy| = |x||y|$
(3) $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ (the Triangle Inequality)

is called a norm or an absolute value.

Definition 2. Let **E** be a fields with an absolute value $|\cdot|$.

Let $a \in \mathbf{E}$, $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$. The open ball of radius *r* centered at *a* is $B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbf{E} : |x - a| < r\}$.

A closen ball of radius *r* centered at *a* is $B_r(a) = \{x \in \mathbf{E} : |x - a| \le r\}$.

A clopen ball of radius r centered at a is an open and in the same time an closen, ball.

Definition 3. An absolute value is called non-archimedian if it satisfies a stronger version of the Triangle Inequality:

$$|x+y| \le \max\{|x|, |y|\} \ \forall x, y \in \mathbf{E}$$

and archimedian otherwise; and a field E with an non-archimedian absolute value is called a non-archimedian field.

Proposition 1. An absolute value $|\cdot|$ on **Q** is non-archimedian if and only if $|x| \le 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. First suppose $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedian.

Then for introduction $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let be '*P*(*n*) ': $|n| \le 1$.

For n = 1 we have $|1| = 1 \le 1$; P(1) is true.

Considering now $|n| \le 1$ for n = k. Then $|k + 1| \le max \{|k|, 1\} = 1 \le 1$ and $P(k) \Longrightarrow P(k + 1)$.

Trough induction, $|n| \leq 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we suppose $|n| \le 1$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we want to show $|a + b| \le max \{|a|, |b|\}$, $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$. If b = 0 we have $|a + b| = |a + 0| = |a| = max\{|a|, |0|\} \le max \{|a|, |b|\}$. So if we assume that $b \ne 0$ then

$$|a+b| \le \max\{|a|, |b|\} / \frac{1}{|b|} \Leftrightarrow \left|\frac{a+b}{b}\right| \le \max\{\frac{|a|}{|b|}; 1\} \Leftrightarrow \left|\frac{a}{b} + 1\right| \le \max\{\frac{|a|}{b}; 1\}$$

and then it is enough to show that $|x + 1| \le max \{|x|, 1\}, \forall x \in \mathbf{Q}$. For that, let be:

$$|x+1|^n = \left|\sum_{k=0}^n C_n^k x^k\right| \le \sum_{k=0}^n |C_n^k| |x|^k \le \sum_{k=0}^n |x|^k.$$

If $|x| \le 1$ then $|x|^k \le I$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n. If |x| > 1 then $|x^k| \le |x^n|$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n. And in both cases, $\sum_{k=0}^n |x|^k \le (n+1) \cdot \max\{1, |x|^n\}$. Then $|x+1|^n \le \sum_{k=0}^n |x|^k \le (n+1) \cdot \max\{1, |x|^n\}$ and $|x+1| \le \sqrt[n]{(n+1)} \max\{1, |x|\}$.

and because $\sqrt[n]{n+1} \to 1$ when $n \to \infty$ we have for the last relations:

$$|x + 1| \le max \{|x|, 1\},\$$

what we want to show.

Also another result which is known is that: an absolute value $|\cdot|$ on **Q** is archimedian if $\forall x, y \in \mathbf{Q}$, $(\exists) n \in \mathbf{N}$ such |nx| > |y|.

Lemma. In a non-archimedian field **E** if $x, y \in \mathbf{E}$, |x| < |y|, then |y| = |x + y|. **Proof.**

Assume
$$|x| < |y|$$

 $|x + y| \le \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ by the initial propreties $\} \Rightarrow |x + y| \le |y|$. (1)

Also, y = (x + y) - x we have $|y| \le max \{|x + y|, |-x|\} = max \{|x + y|, |x|\}$ and because |x| < |y| for the last relations \Rightarrow

$$|y| \le |x+y|. \tag{2}$$

Now for (1) and (2) we have |y| = |x + y|.

Proposition 2. In a non-archimedian field E every "triangle" is isosceles.

Proof. Let x, y, z be the "verticus" of the triangle an |x - y|, |y - z|, |x - z|.

If |x - y| = |y - z| we are done.

If $|x - y| \neq |y - z|$ then we assume |y - z| < |x - y|.

But by Lemma, because $|y-z| \le |x-y|$, we have $|x-y| = |(x-y) + (y-z)| = |x-z| \implies |x-y|$ = |x - z| and the triangle is isosceles.

Proposition 3. In a non-archimedian field. E, every point in an open ball is a center and $b \in B_r(a) \implies B_r(a) = B_r(b).$

Proof. Let $b \in B_r(a)$, so |b - a| < r and x any element of $B_r(a)$.

 $|x-b| = |(x-a) + (a-b)| \le max \{|x-a|, |a-b|\} < r.$

Hence, $x \in B_r(b)$ since $|x - b| < r \implies B_r(a) \subseteq B_r(b)$.

Same $B_r(b) \subseteq B_r(a)$ is obviously now.

Therefore, $B_r(a) = B_r(b)$.

Corollary 1. Let E be a non-archimedian field. Then for two any open balls or one is contained in the other, or is either disjoint.

Proof. We assume p < r and the problem is:

$$x \in B_p(a)$$
 and $x \in B_r(a) \Longrightarrow B_p(a) \subseteq B_r(b)$ or $B_p(b) \subseteq B_r(a)$.

By Proposition 3, we have:

$$x \in B_r(a) \Longrightarrow B_r(a) = B_r(x) \text{ and } x \in B_r(b) \Longrightarrow B_r(b) = B_r(x).$$

Then $x \in B_p(a) = B_p(x) \subset B_r(x) = B_r(b)$ since p < r.

So, $B_p(a) \subseteq B_r(b)$.

Corollary 2. In a non-archimedian field every open ball is clopen, that is a ball which is open and closed.

Proof. Let $B_p(a)$ be an open ball in a non-archimedian field.

Take any x in the boundary of $B_p(a) \Leftrightarrow B_r(x) \cap B_p(a) \neq 0$ for any s > 0, so in particular, for s < r.

By Corollary 1, since $B_r(x)$ and $B_p(a)$ are not disjoint, one is contained in the other and because s < r we have $x \in B_r(x) \subseteq B_p(a) \Longrightarrow B_p(a)$ is closed.

So every open ball is closed and every ball is clopen.

The *p*-adic Absolute Value and Topological Properties for Z_p and Q_p **Non-Archimedians Fields**

Definitions. Let $p \in \mathbf{N}$ be a prime, then for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n \neq 0$ we have $n = p^{\alpha} n'$ with p not divides n'.

We define $f_p(n) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } n = 0 \\ \alpha & \text{when } n \neq 0 \end{cases}$ and $|n|_p = p^{-f_p(n)}$ is a non-archimedian absolute value on

Z, called the *p*-adic absolute value.

For $\frac{a}{b} \in Q$, $f_p\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = f_p(a) - f_p(b)$ we define a non-archimedian *p*-adic absolute value in Q with the relation:

$$|x|_p = p^{-f_p(x)}, (\forall) x \in \mathbf{Q}$$

and

$$|x-y|_p = p^{-f_p(x-y)}$$
 (\forall) x, $y \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Then we have:

$$\mathbf{N}_p = \left\{ \alpha / \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \cdot p^i, a_i, n \in \mathbf{N}; 0 \le a_i \le p-1 \right\}$$

are the *p*-adic numbers from N,

$$\mathbf{Z}_p = \left\{ \alpha / \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \cdot p^i, a_i \in \mathbf{N}; 0 \le a_i \le p-1 \right\}$$

are the p-adic numbers from Z and we can define these numbers as the inverses of naturals numbers written in p-base system and the naturals numbers.

$$\mathbf{Q}_p = \left\{ \alpha \, / \, \alpha = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i \cdot p^i, k \in \mathbf{Z}, a_i \in \mathbf{N}; 0 \le a_i \le p_i - 1 \right\}$$

are the *p*-adic numbers from **Q**.

Proposition 4. If E' it's the completion of a field E with respect to an absolute value |.| then

E' it's a field where we can to extend the absolute value |.|

Proof. See [3] of references.

Proposition 5. \mathbf{Q}_p is the completion of \mathbf{Q} with respect to $\left\| \cdot \right\|_p$.

Proof. See [3] of references.

Proposition 6. \mathbf{Z}_p , \mathbf{Q}_p are completes.

Proof. See [3] of references.

$$\mathbf{Z}_p = \{ x \in \mathbf{Q}_p \ / \ \left| x \right|_p \le l \}.$$

Proof. See [5] of references.

Proposition 7. \mathbb{Z}_p is compact and \mathbb{Q}_p is locally compact, by which we mean every $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ have a neighborhood which is compact.

Proof.

(1) We know that \mathbf{Z}_p is complete, we want to show that \mathbf{Z}_p is totally bounded.

Take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^{-n} \leq \varepsilon$.

Then $a + p^n \cdot \mathbb{Z}_p = B_{p \cdot n}(a) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(a)$ because $|x - a|_p \le p^{-n} \le \varepsilon$ (\forall) $x \in a + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$.

For $a \in \mathbb{Z} / p^n \mathbb{Z}$ the classes reprezentants is included in $\{0, 1, \dots, p, \dots, p^n - 1\}$.

We have with definition of \mathbf{Z}_{p}

$$\mathbf{Z}_p \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}} a + p^n \mathbf{Z}_p = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}} B_{p^{-n}}(a) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}} B_{\varepsilon}(a)$$

a finite cover of \mathbf{Z}_p .

So $\{B_{\varepsilon}(a) : a \in \mathbb{Z} / p^n \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a finite set of open balls which cover \mathbb{Z}_p , so \mathbb{Z}_p is totally bounded, also is complete and result it is compact.

(2) Let be $f: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$; f(y) = x + y for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ known, is continuous.

 \mathbf{Z}_p is compact so the image of \mathbf{Z}_p is $x + \mathbf{Z}_p$ is also compact.

Now we can observe that $x + \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a compact neighborhood of $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ because for $z \in x + \mathbb{Z}_p$; $z - x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$; $|z - x|_p < 1$, (Proposition 2).

Remarks

We give, for students, very common definitions, available in spaces with a norm.

Definitions

Let (x_n) be a sequence with entries in a field **E** with an absolute value |.|.

1. (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence if

$$(\forall) \varepsilon > 0, (\exists) N_{\varepsilon} \in N$$

such that $(\forall) m, n \ge N, |x_m - x_n| < \varepsilon$.

- 2. If every Cauchy sequence in E converges, E is complete with respect to |.|.
- 3. We can introduce the equivalence relation:

$$(x_n) \equiv (y_n)$$
 if $(\forall) \varepsilon > 0, (\exists) N_{\varepsilon} \in N$

such that $(\forall) n \ge N_{\varepsilon}, |x_n - y_n| < \varepsilon.$

4. The completion of field \mathbf{E} with respect to an absolute value |.| is the set :

 $\{[(x_n)]: (x_n) \text{ is a Cauchy sequences on } E\}$ of all equivalence classes of Cauchy sequence with the equivalence relation from 4).

5.

- 5.1. An open cover of a set S is a family of open sets $\{S_i\}$ such that $\bigcup_i S_i \supseteq S$.
- 5.2. A set S is called compact if every open cover of S has a finite subcover. If $\{S_i\}$ is any open cover of $\bigcup_{i=1^n}^n S_i \supseteq S$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 6. A set is called totally bounded if for ever $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a finite collection of balls of radius ε which cover the set.

Propositions

- 1. Compactness is preserved by continuous functions, what it means, if f is continuous and A is compact then f(A) is compact.
- 2. Any closed subset of a complete space is complete.
- 3. A set is compact if it is complete and totally bounded.

References

- 1. Collingwood, J., Marion, S., Mazowita, M. *P-adic number*, http://padic.mathstat.uottawa.ca/~MAT3166/reports/p-adic.pdf
- 2. Colojoara, I. Analiză matematică, Ed. Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1983

- Gouvea, F.Q. P-adic Numbers: An introduction, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997
- 4. Katok, S. Real and p-adic analysis, *Course notes for math 497c Mass program*, fall 2000, Revised November 2001, Department of mathematics, the Pennsylvania State University
- 5. Koblitz, N. *P-adic numbers, P-adic analysis and Z-eta Functions,* Second edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984

Câmpuri nearhimediene. Proprietăți topologice ale lui \mathbf{Z}_p , \mathbf{Q}_p (numere *p*-adice)

Rezumat

Lucrarea dorește să dea o nouă abordare asupra unor norme nearhimediene și asupra unor ciudate proprietăți induse de acestea, apoi, ca exemplu, sunt prezentate numerele p-adice Q_p și câteva proprietăți topologice. Trecând de la norme nearhimediene la norma în Q_p se va da o exemplificare a unor noțiuni abstracte în numerele din baza p extinse la Q_p .